

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/076,443	OHKAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sow-Fun Hon	1772	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sow-Fun Hon. (3)_____.

(2) Roger Lee. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 27 February 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant informed the Office that Applicant received an Office action after the supplemental response dated 12/16/05, which is the correct new Office action as verified by the Examiner, but had a cover sheet containing an incorrect mail date of 06/22/05. The Examiner confirmed that as of the time of the interview, the PALM database logged the Office action as having been counted but not mailed. Furthermore, as of the time of the interview, the image file wrapper did not contain any images of the new Office action. Applicant was told to wait for the Office action to be mailed with the correct date .